

STAT

House Sub-Com.under Moham

In 1951 authorized

in

PK 155 - 82nd Cong.

Because of last-minute log jam
we did not get the appropriation

that year but did get the authorization.

"The question may be asked as to
why we ought to have

in 1951, and in 1955 we are asking for
more than that. One of the reasons is

that we have a few more people than
we had then, together with more realistic
space requirements, and the other fact
is that building costs have gone up 17
percent, which accounts for the

1 B 04

difference between what we asked
for at that time, and what we are
asking for now.

Mr. Diller: "...That is, we believe it is
more straightforward and wiser to have
the building in the law as a C/17
building. You cannot hide the
building, there is no use trying to,

-1

2